

## Detection and Measurement of ROS in Tobacco Leaves

Shunhua Ding and Congming Lu\*

The Key Lab of Photobiology, Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

\*For correspondence: [lucm@ibcas.ac.cn](mailto:lucm@ibcas.ac.cn)

**[Abstract]** Leaf metabolism produces hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) at high rates, high level H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> accumulation can cause oxidative stress. This protocol describes a method for determining H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> concentration in tobacco leaves. In this method all extractions were performed with HClO<sub>4</sub>, neutralized, and pretreated with ascorbate oxidase to eliminate ascorbate interferences. H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> content was determined using a colorimetric assay spiked with an internal control. Interfering peroxides were determined in parallel using a negative control treated with catalase and subsequently subtracted.

### **Materials and Reagents**

1. Tobacco leaves (*Nicotiana tabaccum*, Wisconsin 38)
2. HClO<sub>4</sub>
3. Polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP)
4. K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> in 0.3 M phosphate buffer (pH 5.6)
5. Ascorbate oxidase (Sigma-Aldrich, catalog number: A0157)
6. Phosphate buffer (pH 6.5)
7. Catalase (Sigma-Aldrich, catalog number: C3155)
8. H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>
9. Horseradish peroxidase (Sigma-Aldrich, catalog number: 77332)
10. 3-(dimethylamino) benzoic acid (DMAB)
11. 3-methyl-2-benzothiazoline hydrazone (MBTH)
12. Liquid nitrogen
13. 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 6.5)

### **Equipment**

1. DU-800 Spectrophotometer (Beckman Coulter)

## Procedure

1. The seeds of tobacco plants were allowed to germinate on MS medium, and then the plants were transferred to soil and grown for two weeks in a growth chamber at  $25 \pm 1$  °C with PPFD of  $100 \mu\text{mol}/\text{m}^2/\text{s}$ , a relative humidity of 75-80%, and a photoperiod of 12/12 h light/dark. For  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  content determination, tobacco leaves (50 mg) were ground with mortar and pestle to a fine powder in liquid nitrogen and the powder was extracted in 2 ml 1 M  $\text{HClO}_4$  including 5% insoluble PVP.
2. The homogenate was centrifuged at  $12,000 \times g$  for 10 min and the supernatant was neutralized with several microliters 5 M  $\text{K}_2\text{CO}_3$  to pH 5.6. The homogenate was centrifuged at  $12,000 \times g$  for 1 min to remove  $\text{KClO}_4$ .
3. To eliminate the interference of ascorbate, the supernatant was incubated for 10 min with 1 U/ml ascorbate oxidase at room temperature to oxidize ascorbate.
4. Preparing reaction mixture: the reaction mixture consisted of 0.05 M phosphate buffer (pH 6.5); 3.3 mM DMAB; 0.07 mM MBTH and 50 ng/ml horseradish peroxidase. The reaction was initiated by addition of an aliquot (50  $\mu\text{l}$ ) of the sample.

Reaction mixture (1 ml)

Stock	Volume	Final conc.
0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 6.5)	500 $\mu\text{l}$	0.05 M
33 mM DMAB	100 $\mu\text{l}$	3.3 mM
0.7 mM MBTH	100 $\mu\text{l}$	0.07 mM
horseradish peroxidase (0.1 U/ $\mu\text{l}$ )	1 $\mu\text{l}$	0.1 U
sample	50 $\mu\text{l}$	
ddH <sub>2</sub> O		to 1,000 $\mu\text{l}$

The absorbance change at 590 nm ( $\Delta\text{OD}_1$ ) per minute was monitored at 25 °C for 1-5 min. The value represents the total peroxides including  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  in the sample.

5. To eliminate the interference of other peroxides, an aliquot of the supernatant pre-incubated with ascorbate oxidase as mentioned in step 3 was then incubated for 10 min with 1 U/m catalase at room temperature to catalyze  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  decomposition. The interference of other peroxides was then determined using the same procedure as described in step 4 ( $\Delta\text{OD}_2$ ).

6. The absorbance change at 590 nm caused by H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> in sample was calculated as ΔOD1-ΔOD2.
7. H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> content in the sample was quantified by reference to an internal standard: in each determination in step 4, a parallel aliquot was assayed with addition of 2 nmol H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> to the reaction mixture, and the absorbance change at 590 nm (ΔOD3) was monitored at 25 °C.
8. The final H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> concentration was calculated as:  $(\Delta OD1 - \Delta OD2) / (\Delta OD3 - \Delta OD1) \times 2 \text{ nmol} / 50 \mu\text{l} \times \text{total volume of the extraction} / \text{total fresh weight of the tobacco leaves}$ .

### **Recipes**

1. 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 6.5)

pH	1 M K <sub>2</sub> HPO <sub>4</sub> (ml)	1 M KH <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> (ml)
6.5	30.4	69.6

### **Acknowledgments**

This protocol was adapted from Ding *et al.* (2009). This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (30725024) and the State Key Basic Research and Development Plan of China (2009CB118503) to L.C.

### **References**

1. Ding, S.H., Lu Q.T., Zhang Y., Yang Z.P., Wen X.G., Zhang L.X., Lu C.M. (2009). [Enhanced sensitivity to oxidative stress in transgenic tobacco plants with decreased glutathione reductase activity leads to a decrease in ascorbate pool and ascorbate redox state](#). *Plant Mol Biol* 69(5): 577–592.
2. Queval G., Hager J., Gakière B., Noctor G. (2008). [Why are literature data for H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> contents so variable? A discussion of potential difficulties in the quantitative assay of leaf extracts](#). *J Exp Bot* 59(2): 135–146.
3. Veljovic-Jovanovic S., Noctor G., Foyer C.H. (2002). [Are leaf hydrogen peroxide concentrations commonly overestimated? The potential influence of artefactual interference by tissue phenolics and ascorbate](#). *Plant Physiol Biochem* 40(6-8): 501–507.