

Mitochondrial Biogenesis Assay after 5-day Treatment in PC-3 Cells

Yili Xu and Joy Y. Feng*

Department of Biology, Gilead Sciences, Foster City, USA

*For correspondence: Joy.Feng@gilead.com

[Abstract] Drug-induced mitochondrial injury can be caused by many different mechanisms including inhibition of mitochondrial DNA replication, transcription, translation, and altered protein function. Determination of the level of mitochondrial protein synthesis, or mitochondrial biogenesis, relative to the cellular protein synthesis, provides important information on potential mitochondrial toxicity.

Materials and Reagents

1. PC-3 cells (ATCC, CRL-1435, catalog number: 7348669)
2. Three-fold serial dilutions of compounds
3. MitoTox™ MitoBiogenesis™ In-Cell ELISA Kit (Abcam, catalog number: ab110216) including
 - a. 1,000x IRDye®-labeled secondary antibodies (species were not disclosed by vendor)
 - b. 200x primary antibodies (species were not disclosed by vendor)
 - c. 100x Triton X-100
 - d. 10x blocking buffer
 - e. 10x phosphate buffered saline (PBS)
 - f. 400x Tween-20
 - g. 1x Janus Green stain (optional)
4. DMSO (cell culture grade) (Sigma-Aldrich, catalog number: D2650)
5. CellTiter Glo (Promega Corporation, catalog number: G7571)
6. Kaighn's F12 (Life Technologies, Gibco®, catalog number: 21127)
7. FBS (HyClone, catalog number: SH30071.03)
8. 100 units/ml penicillin and 100 µg/ml streptomycin (P/S) (Life Technologies, Gibco®, catalog number: 15140-122)
9. Cell fixing reagent: 4% paraformaldehyde (20% paraformaldehyde, VWR International, catalog number: 15713-S)
10. Chloramphenicol (10 mM solution in DMSO) (Sigma-Aldrich, catalog number: C1919-5G)
11. Kaighn's F12 medium (see Recipes)

Note: The cells were maintained in Kaighn's F12 medium. The cells were passaged twice weekly and maintained at 90% confluence. Cells between passages 4 and 15 were used in the assays.

Equipment

1. 96-well plates (collagen I coated 96-well plate) (VWR International, catalog number: 73521-028)
2. Titer plate shaker (Lab-line Instrument, model: 4626)
3. LI-COR[®] Odyssey instrument (LI-COR)

Software

1. GraphPad Prism 5.0 (GraphPad Software)

Procedure

1. PC-3 cells were plated at a density of 2.5×10^3 cells per well in a final assay volume of 100 μ l per well in a collagen coated 96-well plate one day before the treatment.
2. On the treatment day, three-fold serial dilutions of compounds in DMSO were prepared in duplicate in 96-well plates starting at a concentration close to the general cytotoxicity 5-day CC_{50} value of the compound. The CC_{50} value was measured by monitoring changes in the cellular ATP level. For compounds with general cytotoxicity CC_{50} value ≥ 100 μ M, the starting concentration was at 100 μ M. A representative 96-well plate map is shown in Figure 1.
 - a. Outer-wells, labeled as Blank in Figure 1, were treated with 0.5% DMSO and used as a background for future data analysis.
 - b. 0.5% DMSO treated cells, labeled as DMSO control in Figure 1, were used as a non-drug treated control.
3. Compounds were mixed with medium to make 2x compound-medium solution, and then 100 μ l/well of 2x compound-medium solution was added to the relevant wells in a 96-well cell plate. The final volume of the well was 200 μ l. The final DMSO content was 0.5%.
4. After 5-day incubation, the cells were fixed with 50 μ l/well of 4% paraformaldehyde for 20 minutes at room temperature. After fixing, the cells were washed with 1x PBS twice (prepared from dilution of 10x PBS).
 - a. At this point the plate could be stored at 4 $^{\circ}$ C up to a week with 100 μ l/well of 1x PBS.

5. The cells were analyzed with the MitoBiogenesis™ In-Cell ELISA Kit, which uses quantitative immunocytochemistry to measure protein levels of Complexes II (SDH-A) and IV (COX-1) in cultured cells, which involved the following steps:
 - a. The cells were permeabilized with 100 µl/well of 1x permeabilization buffer (prepared from dilution of 100x Triton X-100 with 1x PBS) for 30 min at room temperature with gentle agitation on a titer plate shaker at constant speed (setting 2 on a Lab-line Instrument). The same speed setting was used in all of the following steps when a shaker was used.
 - b. After removing the permeabilization buffer from the cell plate, the cells were incubated with 2x blocking buffer (200 µl/well) for 2 h at room temperature with gentle agitation on a titer plate shaker.
 - i. 2x blocking buffer was prepared from dilution of 10x blocking buffer with 1x PBS.
 - c. After removing the blocking buffer, the cells were incubated with 1x primary antibodies in 1x blocking buffer (100 µl/well) overnight at 4 °C with gentle agitation on a titer plate shaker.
 - i. 1x blocking buffer was prepared from dilution of 10x blocking buffer with 1x PBS.
 - ii. 1x primary antibodies was prepared from dilution of 200x primary antibodies with 1x blocking buffer.
 - iii. The outer-wells were treated with 1x blocking buffer without antibodies.
 - d. On the following day, the cells were washed three times with 1x PBS-Tween buffer (300 µl/well, 3 times).
 - i. 1x PBS-Tween buffer was prepared from dilution of 400x Tween-20 with 1x PBS.
 - e. After washing, the cells were incubated with 1x IRDye®-labeled secondary antibody in 1x blocking buffer (100 µl/well) for 2 h at room temperature with gentle agitation on a titer plate shaker.
 - i. 1x blocking buffer was prepared from dilution of 10x blocking buffer with 1x PBS.
 - ii. 1x IRDye®-labeled secondary antibody was prepared from dilution of 1,000x IRDye®-labeled secondary antibodies with 1x blocking buffer.
 - iii. The outer-wells were treated with 1x blocking buffer without antibodies.
 - f. The cells were washed with 1x PBS-Tween buffer (300 µl/well) for 5 times and kept in 1x PBS-Tween buffer (200 µl/well) till imaging.
 - i. 1x PBS-Tween buffer was prepared from dilution of 400x Tween-20 with 1x PBS.
 - g. IR imaging and quantitation were performed using a LI-COR® Odyssey instrument using both 700 (SDH-A) and 800 (COX-1) according to the manufacturer's instructions (Figure 2). Export data for analysis.
6. The data were analyzed using GraphPad Prism software:

- a. Background-corrected results were obtained by subtracting the average background value which was calculated using all of the outer-wells that contained no compound treatment or antibodies as stated in the previous steps.
- b. 0.5% DMSO treated cells were used as a 100% (untreated) control.
- c. Individual protein levels were expressed as a percentage of the 0.5% DMSO control.
- d. In cases where cell viability was severely affected, the data for mitochondrial biogenesis were excluded from the analysis due to significant errors associated with low signals.
- e. Chloramphenicol was used as a positive control for the assay and a representative dose-response is shown in Figure 3.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
A	Blank	Blank	Blank	Blank	Blank	Blank	Blank	Blank	Blank	Blank	Blank	Blank
B	Blank	Cpd 1	Cpd 1	Cpd 2	Cpd 2	DMSO Control	DMSO Control	Cpd 3	Cpd 3	Cpd 4	Cpd 4	Blank
C	Blank	100 uM										Blank
D	Blank	33 uM										Blank
E	Blank	11 uM										Blank
F	Blank	3.3 uM										Blank
G	Blank	1.1 uM										Blank
H	Blank	Blank	Blank	Blank	Blank	Blank	Blank	Blank	Blank	Blank	Blank	Blank

Figure 1. A representative 96-well plate map




Figure 2. A representative 96-well plate IR image. The photo was adapted from the MitoSciences website on Jan 2012.




Figure 3. Inhibition of mitochondrial biogenesis by chloramphenicol in PC-3 cells after 5-day culture. The % levels of COX-1 and SDH-A were shown in (●) and (▼), respectively. The cell viability, shown in (■), was monitored in parallel using another 96-well plate of PC-3 cells using CellTiter Glo. The data plotted were averages ± standard error from duplicate measurements. The CC₅₀ values were 2.4, 13.4, and 88 µM for COX-1, ATP, and SDH-A levels, respectively.

Notes

1. The Mitobiogenesis CC₅₀ value was defined as the concentration at which individual protein level (COX-1 or SDH-A) decreased by 50% in comparison to the DMSO control. The data were analyzed using GraphPad Prism 5.0. CC₅₀ values were calculated by non-linear regression analysis using a sigmoidal dose-response (variable slope) equation [four parameter logistic equation]: $Y = \text{Bottom} + (\text{Top}-\text{Bottom})/(1+10^{((\text{LogCC}_{50}-X)*\text{HillSlope})})$ where the Bottom and Top values were fixed at 0 and 100, respectively. The Mitobiogenesis CC₅₀ values were calculated as an average of two to three independent experiments.

Recipes

1. Kaighn's F12 medium
 Kaighn's F12
 10% FBS
 100 units/ml penicillin and 100 µg/ml streptomycin (P/S)

Acknowledgments

All of the work was sponsored by Gilead Sciences, Inc. This protocol was adapted from Feng *et al.* (2014).

References

1. Feng, J. Y., Cheng, G., Perry, J., Barauskas, O., Xu, Y., Fenaux, M., Eng, S., Tirunagari, N., Peng, B., Yu, M., Tian, Y., Lee, Y. J., Stepan, G., Lagpacan, L. L., Jin, D., Hung, M., Ku, K. S., Han, B., Kitrinis, K., Perron, M., Birkus, G., Wong, K. A., Zhong, W., Kim, C. U., Carey, A., Cho, A. and Ray, A. S. (2014). [Inhibition of hepatitis C virus replication by GS-6620, a potent C-nucleoside monophosphate prodrug](#). *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 58(4): 1930-1942.
2. Instruction Manual of MitoTox™MitoBiogenesis™ In-Cell Elisa Kit (IR) (Abcam, catalog number: ab110216). <http://www.abcam.com/mitobiogenesis™-in-cell-elisa-kit-ir-ab110216.html>.