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[Abstract] The conditioned place preference (CPP) test is a standard pre-clinical behavioral tool used to study the motivational effects of drugs and non-drug treatments in experimental animals. The basic characteristic of this task involves the association of a particular environment and contextual cues with a reward stimulus, followed by the association of a different environment with the absence of the reward stimulus (Prus and Rosecrans, 2009). Besides the motor component, voluntary wheel running exercise also has a rewarding component, and has been suggested as a strong natural reinforcer. Consistent with this notion, rodents will readily begin to run when a wheel is introduced (Eikelboom and Mills, 1988; Looy and Eikelboom, 1989), will work by lever pressing to gain access to a running wheel (Pierce et al., 1986), and spend more time in a place previously associated with the aftereffects of running (Lett et al., 2000; Lett et al., 2001). More recently, we underscored an important role for the adipocyte-derived hormone leptin in midbrain dopamine neurons in the modulation of running reward (Fernandes et al., 2015). Here, we describe a CPP protocol to measure the rewarding aftereffects of wheel running exercise in mice.

Materials and Reagents

Animals
1. Floxed Stat3 mice (C57Bl6 background) [Osaka, Japan (Takeda et al., 1998)]
   Note: All experiments were carried out in accordance with the guidelines and approval of the Institutional Animal Care Committee of the CHUM Research Center. Floxed Stat3 mice (C57Bl6 background) in which loxP sites flank exon 22 of the Stat3 gene that encodes a tyrosine residue (tyr705) essential for Stat3 activation, were graciously provided by Dr. Shizuo Akira [Osaka, Japan (Takeda et al., 1998)]. Female mice homozygous for the floxed Stat3 allele were crossed with male mice heterozygous for the floxed Stat3 allele and heterozygous for the DAT-Cre transgene [B6.SJL-Slc6a3tm1.1(cre)Bkmn/J] (Backman et al., 2006) to generate DAT-Cre-Stat3fl/fl mice and littermate controls (Stat3fl/fl or Stat3fl). Male mice were weaned at P28 and housed in a temperature and humidity controlled room that was
maintained on a 12:12 hour reverse light/dark cycle. CPP experiment (pre-test, conditioning trials and post-test) was conducted in the dark phase of the cycle.

Equipment

1. Low-profile wireless running wheel for mouse (Med Associates Inc., catalog number: ENV-044)

Software

1. Wheel Manager software (Med Associates Inc., catalog number: SOF-860)

Procedure

A. Running reward

Singly-housed male mice (sample size of >10 mice, as rodents’ behavior tend to be variable; 11-14 weeks of age) had free access to home cage low-profile wireless running wheels for 3 weeks (Figure 1). Running wheels have a 15.5 cm (6.10 in) diameter and revolve on a bearing-mounted pin, which creates less friction. Wheel Manager software was used to collect and organize data. Following 3 weeks of *ad libitum* access to the wheels, mice were subjected to a CPP task using a three-compartment, automated mouse CPP apparatus (Figure 2). Each CPP apparatus consisted of three chambers: A black compartment with a stainless steel grid rod floor and a white compartment with a spaced stainless steel mesh floor, separated by a neutral center compartment with a grey finish and PVC floor.

Figure 1. Single-housed mouse running on the wheel
Figure 2. Illustrative schema of a three-compartment place preference chamber for mouse

B. Pre-test
Mice were confined to both the black and white compartments of the CPP apparatus for 5-min to permit habituation to each compartment. Mice were then placed along the midline formed by the joining of the two chambers and allowed to move freely in the apparatus for 15-min during which the amount of time spent in each compartment was recorded (Table S1). All mice returned to their home cages afterwards. Of note, a mouse was considered to be in a chamber only when all four paws were in that chamber.

C. Conditioning trials
Mice had 2-h access to a running wheel (paired trial) or a locked running wheel (unpaired trial) in their respective home cages, and were then confined to either the black or white compartment of the CPP apparatus for 30 min. Paired and unpaired conditioning trials took place in opposite compartments (counterbalanced across mice) on alternating days, thus each mouse ran every-other day. Conditioning trials were conducted for 14 days (Figure 3).

D. Post-test
The CPP post-test occurred on the day after the last conditioning trial. For the post-test, mice were placed back in the open CPP apparatus for 15 min. Please note that animals only have access to the wheels in their home cages-running wheels where not inserted.
into the CPP apparatus. As previously mentioned, at the start of the CPP test, the mouse was placed along the midline formed by the joining of the two chambers. The amount of time spent in each compartment was once again recorded and the proportion of time spent in the paired side was compared to that obtained during the pre-test (Table S2). Importantly, each mouse was tested in the same CPP apparatus where it was trained, and CPP chambers were wiped with 70% EtOH in between animals.

Figure 3. Running reward CPP task
Figure 4. Illustrative graphs showing how to represent CPP data
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